

Mud degassing emissions factor info Dave Newsad

Doug Hardesty 09/15/2011 12:24 PM

Cc:

"Thomas, Brad C" Hide Details

From: Dave Newsad <a href="mailto:dnewsad@slrconsulting.com">dnewsad@slrconsulting.com</a>

To: Doug Hardesty/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: "Thomas, Brad C" <Brad.C.Thomas@conocophillips.com>

History: This message has been forwarded.

#### 2 Attachments





image6afc46.JPG API- Table 5-17.pdf

Doug, following up on our call this morning, attached is the page from the 2009 API Compendium of Greenhouse Gas emissions methodologies for the oil and Gas Industry.

This is the reference we used for the emissions factors for the VOC and methane emissions from mud degassing for the CPAI Chukchi inventory.

From this reference, we applied the 11% VOC factor (100- see footnote for methane (83%) and ethane (6%) contents) for quantifying VOC emissions and the 83% methane factor to derive the GHG emissions (with the CO@ equivalent adjustment).

Any other question please advise.

#### **Dave Newsad**

# **Principal Scientist**

SLR International Corp

Email: dnewsad@slrconsulting.com

Cell: 425-283-2031 Office: 425-402-8800 Fax: 425-402-8488

22118 20th Ave SE, Suite G202, Bothell, WA, 98021, United States

### www.slrconsulting.com



# **Confidentiality Notice and Disclaimer**

This communication and any attachment(s) contain information which is confidential and may also be legally privileged. It is intended for the exclusive use of the recipient(s) to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication in error, please email us by return mail and then delete the email from your system together with any copies of it. Any views or opinions are solely those of the author and do not represent those of SLR Management Ltd, or any of its subsidiaries, unless specifically stated.

atmosphere. This venting results in emissions of CH<sub>4</sub> contained in the gas, and possibly CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Site-specific CH<sub>4</sub> (and CO<sub>2</sub> if present) concentration data should be used to estimate these emissions. However, in the absence of site-specific data, the simplified mud degassing emission factors presented in Table 5-17 can be used.

Table 5-17 provides mud degassing THC vented emission factors on a drilling day basis. The base THC factors are taken from U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service guidance (Wilson et al., 2007). The CH<sub>4</sub> factors are derived from the THC factors based on an assumed CH<sub>4</sub> concentration. However, the factors can be adjusted using actual site-specific concentrations if they are available and different from the defaults shown in the table.

Table 5-17. Mud Degassing Vented CH<sub>4</sub> Emission Factors

Mud Type	THC Emission Factor <sup>a</sup> , Original Units (lb THC/drilling day)	CH4 Emission Factor b, Converted to Tonnes Basis (tonnes CH4/drilling day)
Water-based Mud	881.84	0.2605
Oil-based Mud	198.41	0.0586
Synthetic Mud	198.41	0.0586

Footnotes and Sources:

An example calculation is given in Exhibit 5.24 to illustrate the use of the mud degassing emission factors.

# **EXHIBIT 5.24:** Sample Calculation for Mud Degassing Vented Emissions

### INPUT DATA:

An oil and natural gas production facility performed well drilling activities with water-based mud 85 days during the year. The average CH<sub>4</sub> content of the gas is 70 mole %; there is also 9 mole % CO<sub>2</sub> in the gas. Calculate the CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Wilson, Darcy, Richard Billings, Regi Oommen, and Roger Chang, Eastern Research Group, Inc. *Year 2005 Gulfwide Emission Inventory Study*, U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Services, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region, New Orleans, December 2007, Section 5.2.10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Based on gas content of 65.13 weight percent CH<sub>4</sub>, derived from sample data provided in the original source of the emission factors. Original sample data is as follows, in terms of mole%: 83.85% CH<sub>4</sub>, 5.41% C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, 6.12% C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub>, 3.21% C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub>, and 1.40% C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>12</sub> (Wilson et al., 2007).